



U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b> (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/939,233	
	Filing Date	August 24, 2001	
	First Named Inventor	FRANKULIN, Ray	
	Art Unit	3714	
	Examiner Name	WHITE, Carmen D.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	6	Attorney Docket Number	019411-001410US

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment / Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Group <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Postcard
Remarks	The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account 20-1430.	

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual	Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP Kevin T. LeMond Reg. No. 35,933
Signature	
Date	March 20, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date: <u>March 20, 2003</u>			
Typed or printed	D. Bullock		
Signature		Date	March 20, 2003

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-766-9199) and select option 2.

SF 1444242 v1

TOWNSEND  
and  
TOWNSEND  
and  
CREW  
LLP

Atty Docket No. 019411-001410US

PTO FAX NO.: 1 703 308-1806

ATTENTION: Examiner Tom Hughes  
TELEPHONE NO.:

Group Art Unit 3714

**OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION**  
**FOR THE PERSONAL ATTENTION OF**

**EXAMINER HUGHES, TOM****CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

APR 02 2003

GROUP 3700 #


This is a duplicate of the Transmittal Form, copy of postcard and Remarks (5 pages) mailed to the Patent Office on March 20, 2003 in re Application of Ray Frankulin et al., Application No. 09/939,233, filed August 24, 2001 for PAGING SYSTEM AND LOCATION VERIFICATION FOR REMOTE ACCESS TO WAGERING SYSTEMS is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Document(s) Attached

1. Duplicate copy of Transmittal Form
2. Amendment(5 pages
3. Copy of postcard

Number of pages being transmitted, including this page: 8

Dated: 4/2/03

  
Kevin T. LeMond, Reg: #35,933

**PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS PAPER BY  
RETURN FACSIMILE AT (415) 576-0300**

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834  
Telephone: 415-576-0200  
Fax: 415-576-0300  
SF 1447951 v1

**TO THE U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Please stamp the date of receipt of the following document(s)  
and return this card to us:

RE: FRANKULIN et al. 09/939,239

TITLE OF DOCUMENT(S):

Response to OA w/transmittal form

Application No. 09/939,239

File No. 019411-001410

Date Due 3/20/03

Date Mailed 3/20/03

Atty/Secty. CTL:db:lo

PATENT  
Attorney Docket No.: 019411-001410US

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

Ray Frankulin et al.

Application No.: 09/939,233

Filed: August 24, 2001

For: PAGING SYSTEM AND  
LOCATION VERIFICATION FOR  
REMOTE ACCESS TO WAGERING  
SYSTEMS

Examiner: White, Carmen D.

Art Unit: 3714

RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed December 20, 2002, please consider the following Remarks.

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are pending.

Claims 1 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Scagnelli et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,921,865).

Claims 2-9 and 11-17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Scagnelli et al. in view of Wicks (U.S. Patent No. 6,011,485).

These rejections are respectfully traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

As the Examiner is aware, in order to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, the Examiner must identify prior art declaring all the salient elements recited in the claims. Second, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the reference or to